OSAC 2020-S-0003 Guidelines for Performing Alcohol Calculations in Forensic Toxicology

Forensic Toxicology Subcommittee
Chemistry: Seized Drugs & Toxicology Scientific Area Committee (SAC)
Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science

OSAC Proposed Standard

OSAC 2020-S-0003 Guidelines for Performing Alcohol Calculations in Forensic Toxicology

Prepared by
Forensic Toxicology Subcommittee
April 2022

Disclaimer:

This OSAC Proposed Standard was written by the Forensic Toxicology Subcommittee of the Organization of Scientific Area Committees (OSAC) for Forensic Science following a process that includes an open comment period. This Proposed Standard will be submitted to a standards developing organization and is subject to change.

There may be references in an OSAC Proposed Standard to other publications under development by OSAC. The information in the Proposed Standard, and underlying concepts and methodologies, may be used by the forensic-science community before the completion of such companion publications. Any identification of commercial equipment, instruments, or materials in the Proposed Standard is not a recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Government and does not imply that the equipment, instruments, or materials are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

To be placed on the OSAC Registry, certain types of standards first must be reviewed by a Scientific and Technical Review Panel (STRP). The STRP process is vital to OSAC's mission of generating and recognizing scientifically sound standards for producing and interpreting forensic science results. The STRP shall provide critical and knowledgeable reviews of draft standards or of proposed revisions of standards previously published by standards developing organizations (SDOs) to ensure that the published methods that practitioners employ are scientifically valid, and the resulting claims are trustworthy.

The STRP panel will consist of an independent and diverse panel, including subject matter experts, human factors scientists, quality assurance personnel, and legal experts, which will be tasked with evaluating the proposed standard based on a comprehensive list of science-based criteria.

For more information about this important process, please visit our website at: https://www.nist.gov/topics/organization-scientific-area-committees-forensic-science/scientific-technical-review-panels

Guidelines for Performing Alcohol Calculations in Forensic Toxicology

Foreword

Forensic toxicologists and other experts are frequently requested to perform calculations related to alcohol (ethanol), but there can be a high degree of variability in how this work is performed. Adherence to this guideline will improve the quality and consistency of this type of work that could mitigate the risk for bias.

There are numerous factors that must be taken into consideration when providing estimates related to alcohol consumption and alcohol concentrations. Alcohol pharmacokinetics vary within the population, but also within an individual. A person's exact volume of distribution and elimination rate at a given time cannot be known. Many forensic blood alcohol results are based on replicate analyses and are reported with an estimation of measurement uncertainty, however, many other results (e.g. breath tests, medical tests) may not provide an uncertainty. Other factors in the process, such as time and weight, may have unknown degrees of accuracy associated with them, depending on the source of the information. These factors do not prohibit reasonable estimates from being determined, but do require experts to be conservative, knowledgeable about the limitations, and thorough in their work. The expert should not overstate the interpretation of their calculations; nor should they oversimplify the process.

The approach taken in this guideline is to provide a reasonable estimate of the *range* which encompasses the value of interest, and then apply that range to the question at hand, with consideration of the assumptions that may/may not be made. For example, in a situation where there is a long delay between the incident and the blood draw, an expert may be asked what the subject's blood alcohol concentration was at the time of the incident. Due to the factors discussed within this guideline, the science does not support being able to provide a single value. Rather an estimated range can be provided and applied to the case at hand, while clearly stating any assumptions that may impact that application. The range does not put any greater likelihood that the subject was at the high or low end of the range, nor that they were likely in the middle. The Appendix illustrates how this approach can be applied in various scenarios.

Future editions of this guideline will work toward applying a statistical approach to the calculations. There are approaches in the literature that provide estimated uncertainties for some of the variables contained within the calculations. For example, for elimination rate and volume of distribution, there is a significant amount of scientific literature that one may be able to reasonably estimate an average with an associated uncertainty. The body of knowledge in the peer reviewed literature is continually increasing and may eventually allow for estimations of the variances associated with all the parameters.

Personnel and training requirements are outside the scope of this guideline. It is expected that persons performing this type of work have an understanding of pharmacokinetics, along with relevant education and experience.

Keywords
Alcohol (ethanol), retrograde extrapolation, pharmacokinetics

Table of Contents

1. Scope

This document provides guidelines for performing alcohol (ethanol) calculations. Guidance on calculations for retrograde extrapolation, forward estimations, minimum drinks consumed, and other typical situations are addressed. Recommendations are provided for evaluation of post absorptive stage, various specimen types, and population variances. Reporting of calculations is also addressed. This guideline is intended for an expert performing alcohol calculations, whether as an employee of a public or private laboratory, or as an independent forensic service provider. It applies to matters related to criminal and/or civil proceedings.

2. Normative References - Required references for using this guideline

Maskell, P., Jones, W., Savage, A., and Scott-Ham, M. *Evidence based survey of the distribution volume of ethanol; comparison of empirically determined values with anthropometric measures.* Forensic Science International, 2019.

Jones, A.W. *Pharmacokinetics of ethanol – Issues of forensic importance*, Forensic Science Review, 2011.

3. Terms and Definitions

3.1. Alcohol = ethanol

4. Background Information

4.1. Alcohol Pharmacokinetics

The mechanisms of absorption, distribution, and elimination of alcohol throughout the body must be considered when performing alcohol calculations.

4.1.1. Absorption

The absorption of alcohol is a complex dynamic process that begins as soon as drinking begins. Alcohol is primarily absorbed into the blood stream through the small intestine, but some absorption occurs in the stomach and mouth. Absorption rates are highly variable and are not linear. Factors such as the presence of food in the stomach, the type and volume of beverage consumed, other drugs consumed, and the condition of the gastrointestinal tract, can impact the rate of absorption. Studies support that it can take up to 2 hours for complete absorption after the last drink. (2-4, 7-9, 12, 14-16, 19, 23, 24, 30, 31, 34) The time needed to reach the peak alcohol concentration (AC) is not the same as the time to complete absorption.

4.1.2. Distribution

Alcohol is water soluble and rapidly distributed throughout the total body water by the blood supply. For alcohol, the volume of distribution (Vd) is closely correlated with the total body water. Numerous factors impact an individual's Vd including sex, body mass index (BMI), and age. In general, Vd is typically lower for women, obese individuals, and the elderly. Numerous publications propose mathematical approaches to estimate an individual's Vd based on certain factors (height, weight, sex), and attempt to provide ranges for the Vd of alcohol. (5, 18, 25, 28, 29) However, there are significant limitations to these studies. For example, the number of participants in many studies is quite small, and the ethnic diversity is often unknown. There are also differences in whether Vd, total body water, or rho were measured. Some involved bolus drinking, while others used a social drinking scenario. Alcohol concentration may have been measured in whole blood, serum/plasma, or breath. (5, 18, 25, 28, 29) Therefore, caution must be used when comparing, or attempting to average, these various formulas since they do not all calculate the same variable.

4.1.2.1 Research supports a range of 0.40 – 0.80 L/kg or an anthropometric approach (normative references 1 and 2).

4.1.2.2 Maskell, et al (normative reference 1) determined the accuracy and precision of the various equations to estimate a subject's Vd by applying them to a single data set compiled from six published studies. The authors provide suggested correction factors for bias, along with confidence intervals for each model. This approach considers the subject's individual factors and provides a range of Vd values to apply in further calculations.

4.1.2.3 Due to the high variability among the population, the use of a single factor for Vd is inappropriate.

4.1.3 Elimination

Alcohol is primarily eliminated via enzyme metabolism in the liver; however, a small amount is removed through first pass metabolism or excreted unchanged in the breath, sweat, oral fluid, and urine. Alcohol is eliminated at a constant, linear rate (zero order kinetics), until low concentrations are reached. The linear rate can only be applied when elimination is the only pharmacokinetic parameter occurring, in other words, the subject is post absorptive. While the AC may be declining after the subject reaches their peak AC (post peak), the linear rate is not applicable until the post absorptive state.

4.1.3.1 An elimination rate range of 0.010 – 0.025 g/dL/hour encompasses the majority of the population regardless of age, sex, ethnicity, and drinking experience. (normative reference 2, and 10, 11, 13, 20, 21, 26, 32)

4.1.3.2 At concentrations below 0.020 g/dL, the elimination rate may not be linear as zero order kinetics may no longer apply. (1, 11)

4.2 Case History

The type of information, and source of that information, will vary from case to case. Experts should be clear as to the information they rely upon, and the assumptions they make. On occasion, that information may change as the case proceeds.

4.2.1 Time: the time of the incident and the timing of drinking play a role in the assumptions that can be made and the associated calculations. For example, the time of last drink based on video surveillance may be considered differently than a time based on the subject's self-reported drinking history. This may impact the assessment of whether the subject was post absorptive at the time of the incident.

4.2.2 Type of beverage: when there is evidence of the type of beverage consumed, it may be appropriate to calculate the number of drinks based on that information. However, in other situations, it may be more appropriate to reference a "standard drink" (see 4.5), such as when there is no history, or the subject consumed unknown quantities of various types of drinks.

4.3 Specimen Considerations

4.3.1 Serum and plasma have a higher water content than whole blood. Research supports a serum/plasma to blood ratio of 1.04 to 1.26. (6)

4.3.2 Urine is an elimination product which is influenced by hydration and time since last void. Results from urine alcohol testing are not amenable to extrapolation or other calculations, including urine results that have been converted to a whole blood equivalent.

4.4 Propagation of Uncertainty

The variance and distribution for all parameters used in the calculations has not been fully characterized in the scientific literature at this point. Therefore, as an initial minimum guideline, a statistical approach incorporating the uncertainties for each of the parameters is not presented. This guideline does not prohibit the expert from applying accepted statistical models within the calculations. These calculations should be clearly presented, with references or stated assumptions for the associated uncertainties and the method of determining the uncertainty.

If known, the range associated with the measurement uncertainty of the test result may be incorporated.

4.5 Standard drink

A "standard drink" is defined as a beverage containing approximately 14 grams of alcohol. (33)

e.g. 12 ounces, 5% beer

5 ounces, 12% wine

1.5 ounces, 80 proof liquor (40%)

4.6 English/Metric conversions (if applicable)

Volume: 1 ounce = 29.6 mL

Weight: 1 pound = 0.454 kilograms

Height: 1 inch = 2.54 centimeters or 0.0254 meters

4.7 Density of alcohol = 0.789 g/mL

5 Calculations

5.1 Alcohol Test Results

5.1.1 Calculations presented are valid for both blood (g/dL) and breath (g/210L).

5.1.2 Serum/plasma results shall be converted to a whole blood equivalent prior to other calculations. The range should be 1.04 to 1.26 serum/plasma to blood ratio. (6) Further calculations shall then be applied to both converted AC results.

5.2 Widmark's Formula – the relationship between a dose of alcohol and a resulting alcohol concentration.

Equation 1 $$AC = \frac{D}{Vd * w}$$

where:

AC = alcohol concentration (g/L)

D = dose (g)

Vd = volume of distribution (L/kg)

w = weight (kg)

Variations of the formula can be applied to several common scenarios.

Estimating the minimum number of drinks to achieve a particular alcohol concentration may be used to support/refute a particular drinking history, or to establish that someone could not have consumed less than that amount of alcohol.

5.2.1 Theoretical minimum number of drinks to achieve a particular alcohol concentration: This calculation does *not* account for any drinks eliminated. It provides an estimate of the equivalent dose of alcohol in the system at the time of the blood draw/breath test.

Equation 2: Minimum dose of alcohol

$D = AC \times Vd \times w \times 10\frac{dL}{L}$ where:

D = dose (g)

AC = alcohol concentration (g/dL, g/210 L breath)

Vd = volume of distribution (L/kg)

w = weight (kg)

Equation 3: Using the calculated dose to estimate the minimum number of "drinks" when beverage concentration is known

$$V = \frac{D}{C \times \rho \times \mathrm{m}}$$

where:

V = volume (oz)

D = dose (g)

C = beverage concentration (mL/100mL)

ρ = density of ethanol (0.789 g/mL)

m = metric conversion (29.6 mL/oz), if necessary

The calculated volume can be converted to the equivalent number of drinks, depending on the type of drink. e.g., If the subject was drinking 12 oz beers, a volume of 37 oz would be equivalent to ~ 3 beers.

5.2.2 Maximum alcohol concentration that could theoretically be achieved from a given dose: These calculations provide the maximum AC attainable from a reported number of consumed drinks. They are used to support/refute a particular drinking history. The calculations are used to attempt to answer the question: "If someone had X number of drinks, could they have reached the measured AC?" The calculated results can also provide information to account for potentially unabsorbed alcohol or post incident alcohol consumption.

Equation 4: Dose of alcohol from a drink

$$D = V \times C \times \rho \times m$$

where:

D = dose (g)

V = volume (oz)

C = beverage concentration (mL/100mL)

ρ = density of ethanol (0.789 g/mL)

m = metric conversion (29.6 mL/oz), if necessary

Equation 5: Theoretical maximum AC from a given drink(s)

This calculation provides the *theoretical* maximum alcohol concentration. It assumes full absorption with no elimination.

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

where:

$\mathrm{AC}_{\mathrm{drink(s)}}$ = max alcohol concentration (g/dL) from a drink(s)

D = dose (g)

Vd = volume of distribution (L/kg)

w = weight (kg)

5.2.3 A range shall be used for Vd in the calculations; either a range of 0.40-0.80 L/kg, or the calculated range using an anthropometric approach (see 4.1.2.1).

5.2.4 See A.1 for examples

5.2.5 Alcohol eliminated during the drinking timeline may be further considered if necessary/applicable.

5.3 Retrograde Extrapolation

Retrograde extrapolation is a mathematical process that uses an alcohol concentration at a given point in time and estimates what the concentration would have been at an earlier time.

NOTE: It is not possible to calculate the exact alcohol concentration at an earlier point in time, but an estimation in the form of a range of concentrations can be provided.

5.3.1 Basic Calculation

Equation 6:

$$AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + (R \times T)$$

where:

AC_{inc} = estimated alcohol concentration at the time of the incident (g/dL)

AC_{test} = measured alcohol concentration (g/dL)

R = elimination rate (g/dL/hour)

T = time between incident and time of breath test/blood draw (hours)

5.3.2 Extrapolation shall not be performed on alcohol concentrations below 0.020 g/dL.

5.3.3 Elimination Rate Range

5.3.3.1 The calculation shall be performed using a range of elimination rates. The minimal range shall be 0.010 – 0.025 g/dL/hour.

5.3.3.2 An elimination rate calculated from two or more test results shall not be used in place of a range.

5.3.4 Assessment of absorptive state

5.3.4.1 The impact of potentially unabsorbed alcohol shall be addressed.

5.3.4.2 If the time of incident is more than 2 hours after the time of drinking cessation, it is reasonable to assume the subject is post absorptive. See A.2 for example.

5.3.4.3 When the drinking history is unknown, it is not reasonable to assume that the subject is only post absorptive. Additional calculations can be applied to assess the impact of potentially unabsorbed alcohol. See A.5 for example.

5.3.4.4 If case history indicates that alcohol was consumed after the incident, but before the sample was obtained, this shall be accounted for in the estimates.

5.3.4.5 An option to account for unabsorbed alcohol or post incident alcohol consumption is to subtract the impact of those drinks from the estimated post absorptive alcohol concentrations (determined from Equation 6). See Equation 4 to calculate the maximum AC contribution from a drink.

Equation 7:

$$\text{Adjusted } AC_{inc} = AC_{inc} - AC_{drink(s)}$$

where:

Adjusted AC_{inc} = estimated AC at time of the incident, accounting for potentially unabsorbed alcohol or post incident alcohol consumption

AC_{inc} = estimated AC at time of the incident if subject were in post absorptive state (calculated from Equation 6)

$AC_{drink(s)}$ = maximum AC contribution from drink(s) (calculated from Equation 5)

Reference A.3 for example of subject not being post absorptive. See A.4 for example of post incident alcohol consumption.

6. Additional Considerations and Best Practice Recommendations

6.1 Documentation: Calculations should be documented, and assumptions clearly stated. This may be in the form of case notes, an electronic spreadsheet, a written report, etc.

6.2 Protocols: It is recommended that written protocols be in place to ensure the forensic service provider applies a consistent methodology to service requests.

6.3 Technical Review: Where feasible, independent review of calculations by a qualified individual is encouraged.

6.4 Calculations during testimony: Performing alcohol calculations is a forensic service request, and should not be viewed as just a question during direct or cross examination, or "simple math" that the expert should be able to readily perform in their head. While the expert must respectfully follow the orders of the legal authorities overseeing the testimony (trial, deposition, etc), performing calculations during live testimony is discouraged due to the various risks to quality it may create. When so compelled, it is recommended that the witness document the additional work. Depending on the scope of the new work request and the complexity, the expert may consider requesting a brief recess to perform the work. In some circumstances, it may be appropriate to discuss the *impact* a change would have on the calculations, rather than redoing them all, e.g., if the subject's drinking history changes, one could state that it would raise/lower the estimated AC range provided.

6.5 Postmortem specimens: The principles and practices outlined in this guideline may also apply to postmortem scenarios, but there are additional variables to be considered that are outside the scope of this guideline. (18,23)

Appendix A (examples)

NOTE: The Appendix is intended to provide illustrative examples to apply the recommendations contained within the guideline; it does not represent the only way the recommendations may be applied or presented.

A.1. Support/refute drinking history

History: A 32-year-old male subject was pulled over for suspected impaired driving. He had an evidential breath test result of 0.19g/210L. He stated he had been at a local bar for the last 3 hours and only had 2 pints of Brand X beer. He ate chicken wings and french fries.

Question: Is the stated drinking history consistent with the AC result?

This can be answered two different ways: by calculating the minimum number of drinks needed to attain a certain AC, or by calculating the maximum AC attainable from a drinking history.

Relevant Information:

The subject is 6'1", 230 lbs

Evidential breath test: 0.19g/210L

Alcohol content of Brand X beer ~4.3% (cite reference for that brand's alcohol content (e.g. internet site and access date, published reference))

1 pint = 16oz

Calculations:

Weight conversion: $w = 230\ lbs \times 0.454\,\frac{kg}{lbs} = 104\ kg$

A.1.1 What is the minimum number of drinks needed to reach a 0.19g/210L AC?

Using Equation 2 and a Vd range of 0.40-0.80 L/kg, calculate the dose needed:

$$D = AC \times Vd \times w \times 10\frac{dL}{L}$$

$$D = 0.19\frac{g}{dL} \times 0.40\frac{L}{kg} \times 104kg \times 10\frac{dL}{L}$$

D = 79g

$$D = AC \times Vd \times w \times 10\frac{dL}{L}$$

$$D = 0.19\frac{g}{dL} \times 0.80\frac{L}{kg} \times 104kg \times 10\frac{dL}{L}$$

D = 158g

Using Equation 3, calculate the equivalent number of drinks for that dose:

$$V = \frac{D}{C \times \rho \times m}$$

$$V = \frac{79g}{4.3\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6\frac{mL}{oz}}$$

$$V = \frac{D}{C \times \rho \times m}$$

$$V = \frac{158g}{4.3\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6\frac{mL}{oz}}$$

V = 79 oz | *V = 157 oz*

Drinks = 79oz / 16oz = 5 pints | *Drinks = 157oz / 16oz = 10 pints*

Opinion: The subject's stated drinking history is inconsistent with the breath test result. He had the equivalent of ~5-10 pints of Brand X beer in his system at the time of the test.

A.1.2 What is maximum AC that could be reached from 2 pints of Brand X beer?

Using Equation 4, calculate the dose from 2 pints of Brand X beer:

$$D = V \times C \times \rho \times m$$

$$D = 32oz \times 4.3\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6\frac{mL}{oz}$$

D = 32g alcohol in 2 pints of Brand X

Using Equation 5 and a Vd range of 0.40-0.80 L/kg, calculate the maximum range of ACs this dose could theoretically reach:

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}} \qquad \mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{32g}{0.40\frac{L}{kg} \times 104kg \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}} \qquad \mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{32g}{0.80\frac{L}{kg} \times 104kg \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = 0.077g/dL \qquad \mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = 0.038g/dL$$

Opinion: The subject's stated drinking history is inconsistent with the breath test result. If all the alcohol in 2 pints of Brand X were completely absorbed, and none eliminated, the maximum AC range achievable for the subject would be ~0.038-0.077 g/dL.

A.2. <u>Retrograde extrapolation, subject is post absorptive</u>

History: A 45-year-old woman was drinking wine at an out of town wedding. She left the wedding at 6:00 pm, and had a five-hour drive home. At approximately 9:00 pm she crossed over the center line and crashed into an oncoming vehicle. She was injured and transported to the hospital; a blood kit was collected at 11:45 pm. The result of the blood test was 0.068g/dL. There were no alcoholic beverages in the vehicle. She stated she had not had anything to drink since leaving the wedding.

Question: What was her AC at the time of the crash?

Relevant Information:

The subject is 5'3", 125 lbs

Blood alcohol: 0.068g/dL at 11:45 pm

Incident: 9:00 pm

Assumptions:

Since there were at least 3 hours between the end of drinking and the incident, the subject is assumed to be post absorptive.

No post-incident alcohol consumption.

Calculations:

Elapsed Time = 9:00 pm to 11:45 pm = 2.75 hours

Using Equation 6 and an elimination rate range of 0.010-0.025 g/dL/hour, calculate AC range at time of incident:

$$AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + (R \times T)$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.068\tfrac{g}{dL} + \left(0.010\tfrac{g}{dL}/hour \times 2.75\ hours\right) = 0.096\tfrac{g}{dL}$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.068\tfrac{g}{dL} + \left(0.025\tfrac{g}{dL}/hour \times 2.75\ hours\right) = 0.137\tfrac{g}{dL}$$

Opinion: It is estimated that the subject's AC at the time of the incident was ~0.096-0.137 g/dL. Therefore, it is likely the subject was above the 0.08 g/dL legal limit at the time of the incident.

A.3. <u>Retrograde extrapolation, subject is not post absorptive</u>

History: A 22-year-old female subject was drinking tequila shots at a bar. She paid her tab, took one last shot, and left the bar at ~11:00 pm. She crashed her car while trying to leave the parking lot. Her blood was drawn at 12:30 am and was a 0.082 g/dL. Her defense is that she was below 0.08g/dL at the time of the crash.

Question: Could the subject's AC have been under 0.08 g/dL at the time of the crash?

Relevant Information:

The subject is 5'8", 160lbs

Blood alcohol content: 0.082 g/dL at 12:30 am

Incident: 11:00 pm

80 proof = 40% alcohol concentration

Assumptions:

The alcohol from the last shot of tequila was not absorbed at the time of the incident.

Tequila is typically ~80 proof.

Calculations:

Elapsed Time = 11:00 pm to 12:30 am = 1.5 hours

Weight conversion: $w = 160\ lbs \times 0.454\ \frac{kg}{lbs} = 73\ kg$

Using Equation 6 and an elimination rate range of 0.010-0.025 g/dL/hour, calculate AC range at the time of incident, if the subject were post absorptive:

$$AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + (R \times T)$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.082\frac{g}{dL} + \left(0.010\frac{g}{dL}/hour \times 1.5\ hours\right) = 0.097\frac{g}{dL}$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.082\frac{g}{dL} + \left(0.025\frac{g}{dL}/hour \times 1.5\ hours\right) = 0.120\frac{g}{dL}$$

Using Equation 4, calculate the dose of alcohol from a shot of tequila:

$$D = V \times C \times \rho \times m$$

$$D = 1.5oz \times 40\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL} \times 29.6\frac{mL}{oz}$$

D = 14g alcohol in shot of tequila

Using Equation 5 and a Vd range of 0.40-0.80 L/kg, calculate the maximum AC a tequila shot could contribute:

$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\ \frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{14g}{0.40\frac{L}{kg} \times 73kg \times 10\ \frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.048g/dL$$

$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\ \frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{14g}{0.80\frac{L}{kg} \times 73kg \times 10\ \frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.024g/dL$$

Using Equation 7, adjust the AC to remove the theoretical maximum contribution the last shot could have contributed (using the calculated ranges of AC_{inc} and $AC_{drink(s)}$):

Adjusted $AC_{inc} = AC_{inc} - AC_{drink(s)}$

Adjusted AC_{inc} = 0.097 – 0.048 = 0.049g/dL

Adjusted AC_{inc} = 0.120 – 0.024 = 0.096g/dL

Opinion: Assuming the last shot of tequila was not absorbed at the time of the incident, the subject's AC at that time is estimated to be ~0.049 – 0.096g/dL. Therefore, it is possible she was below the 0.08 g/dL legal limit at the time of the incident.

A.4. Post incident consumption

History: A 55-year-old man drove his vehicle through his garage door at ~6:00 pm. A neighbor witnessed the crash and called the police. When the police arrived at the home, the subject greeted them with a partially consumed bottle of vodka in his hand (80 proof, 750 mL), and he appeared to be intoxicated. He was arrested for suspected DUI and had a breath test result of 0.215 g/210L. The defendant claimed he had not been drinking prior to the crash, and that his AC was from the vodka consumption after the crash. He claimed it was a new bottle; approximately one-third was missing.

Question: Could the consumption of 1/3 bottle of vodka account for the measured AC?

Relevant Information:

The subject is 5'10", 210 lbs

Breath test result: 0.215g/210L

80 proof = 40% alcohol concentration

Calculations:

Weight conversion: $w = 210\ lbs \times 0.454 \frac{kg}{lbs} = 95\ kg$

$Amount\ consumed = 750\ mL\ x\ \frac{1}{3} = 250\ mL$

Using Equation 4, calculate the dose of alcohol from the vodka

$D = V \times C \times \rho$ *(metric conversion not needed)*

$D = 250mL \times 40\frac{mL}{100mL} \times 0.789\frac{g}{mL}$

D = 79g alcohol in ⅓ bottle of vodka

Using Equation 5 and a Vd range of 0.40-0.80 L/kg, calculate the maximum AC the vodka could contribute:

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{79g}{0.40\frac{L}{kg} \times 95kg \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = 0.208g/dL$$

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = \frac{79g}{0.80\frac{L}{kg} \times 95kg \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)} = 0.104g/dL$$

Using Equation 7, adjust the AC to remove the contribution from post-incident alcohol consumption (using the calculated $\mathrm{AC}_{drink(s)}$ range):

$= 0.215 - 0.208 = 0.007 g/dL$

Adjusted $AC_{inc} = AC_{inc} - AC_{drink(s)}$

$= 0.215 - 0.104 = 0.111 g/dL$

Opinion: If all the alcohol from the 1/3 bottle of vodka were completely absorbed, and none eliminated, the maximum AC range achievable for the subject would be ~0.104-0.208g/dL. Complete absorption with no elimination is not realistic, and the theoretical maximum AC range falls below the measured AC, therefore the subject's drinking history is inconsistent. There was likely alcohol consumption prior to the incident.

A.5 Minimal case history available

History: Subject is a 25-year-old female, 5'5", 160 lbs. Crash at 1:00 am, blood draw at 3:00 am, blood test result 0.075g/dL. No drinking history available.

Question: What was her AC at the time of the crash?

Relevant Information

The subject is 5'5", 160 lbs

"Standard" drink = 14g of alcohol

Assumptions:

With no drinking history, the impact of potentially unabsorbed alcohol is presented.

Since there is no information on the type of drinks, a standard drink will be used.

Calculations:

Weight conversion: $w = 160\ lbs \times 0.454 \frac{kg}{lbs} = 73\ kg$

Elapsed Time = 1:00 am to 3:00 am = 2 hours

Using Equation 6 and an elimination rate range of 0.010-0.025 g/dL/hour, calculate the AC at time of incident if post absorptive:

$$AC_{inc} = 0.075\frac{g}{dL} + \left(0.010\frac{g}{dL}/hour \times 2\ hours\right) = 0.095\frac{g}{dL}$$

$$AC_{inc} = AC_{test} + (R \times T)$$

$$AC_{inc} = 0.075\frac{g}{dL} + \left(0.025\frac{g}{dL}/hour \times 2\ hours\right) = 0.125\frac{g}{dL}$$

Using Equation 5 and a Vd range of 0.40-0.80 L/kg, calculate the maximun AC a "standard" drink could contribute:

$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{D}{Vd \times w \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{14g}{0.40\frac{L}{kg} \times 73kg \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}} \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = \frac{14g}{0.80\frac{L}{kg} \times 73kg \times 10\,\frac{dL}{L}}$$

$$AC_{drink(s)} = 0.048g/dL \qquad AC_{drink(s)} = 0.024g/dL$$

Using Equation 7, adjust the AC to remove the number of drinks that would have to be unabsorbed to have the subject be below the legal limit at the time of the crash (using the calculated ranges of AC_{inc} and $AC_{drink(s)}$):

Adjusted $AC_{inc} = AC_{inc} - AC_{drink(s)}$

Estimated AC @ 1:00am	0.010 rate		0.025 rate	
Post absorptive (AC_{inc})	0.095	0.095	0.125	0.125
$AC_{drink(s)}$ (Vd 0.40-0.80)	*0.048*	*0.024*	*0.048*	*0.024*
-1 drink unabsorbed	**0.047**	**0.071**	**0.077**	0.101
-2 drinks unabsorbed				**0.077**

Opinion: If the subject was post absorptive at the time of the incident, they were likely above the 0.08g/dL legal limit at that time. However, if the subject had ~1-2 standard drinks unabsorbed at the time of the incident, they could have been below the 0.08g/dL legal limit.

Annex B Bibliography (informative)

1. Caplan, Y., Goldberger, B. and Aguayo, E. *Garriott's medicolegal aspects of alcohol*. 6th ed. Lawyers & Judges Publication Company, Inc, 2014.
2. Charlton, S.G. and Starkey, N.J. *Driver risk from blood alcohol levels between 50mg/100ml and 80mg/100ml*, NZ Transport Agency Research Report 541, 2013.
3. Cowan, J., Weathermon, A., McCutcheon, J. and Oliver, R. *Determination of Volume of Distribution for Ethanol in Male and Female Subjects*. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, 1996.
4. Evaluation of Breath Alcohol Profiles and Testing the Reliability of Widmark calculations, Amy McElroy SDPD 2009
5. Forrest, A. *The Estimation of Widmark's Factor.* Journal of the Forensic Science Society, 1986.
6. Charlebois, R. et al. *Comparison of Ethanol Concentrations in Blood, Serum, and Blood Cells for Forensic Application*. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, 1996.
7. Ganert, P.M. and Bowthorpe, W.D. *Evaluation of Breath Alcohol Profiles Following A Period of Social Drinking*, Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal, 2000.
8. Harger, R.N. *Blood Source and Alcohol Level; Errors from Using Venous Blood During Active Absorption*, Alcohol and Road Traffic Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Alcohol and Road Traffic, 1963.
9. Jakus, J.T. and Shajani, N.K. and Image, B.A. *Consumption of a Large Dose of Alcohol in a Short Time Span*, Forensic Science International, 1992.
10. *Jones, A.W. Disappearance Rate of Ethanol from the Blood of Human Subjects: Implications in Forensic Toxicology.* Journal of Forensic Sciences, *1993.*
11. Jones, A.W. *Evidence-based survey of the elimination rates of ethanol from blood*. Forensic Science International, 2010.
12. Jones, A.W. *Interindividual Variations in the Disposition and Metabolism of Ethanol in Healthy Men*, Alcohol, 1984.
13. Jones, A.W. and Andersson, L. *Influence of Age, Gender, and Blood-Alcohol Concentration on the Disappearance Rate of Alcohol from Blood in Drinking Drivers*, Journal of Forensic Sciences, 1996.
14. Jones, A.W. and Jönsson, K.A*. Food-Induced Lowering of Blood-Ethanol Profiles and Increased Rate Elimination Immediately After a Meal*, Journal of Forensic Sciences, 1994.
15. Jones, A.W. and Neri, A. *Evaluation of Blood-Ethanol Profiles after Consumption of Alcohol Together with a Large Meal*, Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal, 1991.

16. Jones, A.W. and Wigmore, J.G. and House, C.J. *The Course of the Blood-Alcohol Curve After Consumption of Large Amounts of Alcohol under Realistic Conditions*, Canadian Society of Forensic Science Journal, 2006.
17. *Kugelberg, F.C., Jones, A.W. Interpreting Results of Ethanol Analysis in Postmortem Specimens: A review of the literature. Forensic Science International, 2007.*
18. Maudens, K., Patteet, L., van Nuijs, A., Van Broekhoven, C., Covaci, A. and Neels, H. *The influence of the body mass index (BMI) on the volume of distribution of ethanol.* Forensic Science International, 2014.
19. McElrea, A. and Su, C. and Smith, L. *Truncation of Breath Alcohol Measurements and Its Effect on Peak Concentrations*, Journal of the Canadian Society of Forensic Science, 2011.
20. Montgomery, Mark, Reasor, Mark. *Retrograde Extrapolation of Blood Alcohol Data: An Applied Approach*. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, 1992.
21. Neuteboom, W., Jones, A.W. *Disappearance Rate of Alcohol from the Blood of Drunk Drivers Calculated from Two Consecutive Samples; What do the Results Really Mean?* Forensic Science International, 1990.
22. O'Neal, C.L., Poklis, A. *Postmortem production of ethanol and factors that influence interpretation: a critical review*. American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology, 1996.
23. San Diego Police Department Crime Lab, Alcohol Section Training Studies (pending ToxTalk publication), 2006
24. San Diego Sheriff's Regional Crime Laboratory, Alcohol Section Training Studies (pending ToxTalk publication), 2012-2018
25. Seidl, S., Jensen, U. and Alt, A*. The calculation of blood ethanol concentrations in males and females.* International Journal of Legal Medicine, 2000.
26. Stowell, A.R., Stowell, L.I. *Estimation of Blood Alcohol Concentrations After Social Drinking*. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 1998.
27. UKIAFT 2019. UKIAFT Guidelines for Performing Alcohol Technical Defence Calculations – version 3.0
28. Ulrich, L., Cramer, Y., Zink, P. *Relevance of individual parameters in the calculation of blood alcohol levels in relation to the volume of intake* | Die Berücksichtigung individueller Parameter bei der Errechnung des Blutalkoholgehaltes aus der Trinkmenge., Blutalkohol, 1987.
29. Watson, P., Watson, I. and Batt, R. *Prediction of blood alcohol concentrations in human subjects. Updating the Widmark Equation*. Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 1981.
30. Watkins, Richard L. and Adler, Eugene V. *The Effect of Food on Alcohol Absorption and Elimination Patterns*, Journal of Forensic Sciences, 1993.

31. Winek, Charles L. and Wahba, Wagdy W. and Dowdell, Jody L. *Determination of Absorption time of Ethanol in Social Drinkers*, Forensic Science International, 1996
32. *Winek, C., Murphy, K. The Rate and Kinetic Order of Ethanol Elimination. Forensic Science International, 1984*
33. "What Is A Standard Drink?" *National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism*, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, www.niaaa.nih.gov/alcohol-health/overview-alcohol-consumption/what-standard-drink, 09 Apr. 2018.
34. Yang, Chi-ting. *A study of alcohol pharmacokinetic of local Chinese in Hong Kong*, University of Hong Kong, 2003.